

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/695,367 | 10/28/2003 | Oswin Ottinger | SGL 02/24 | 5955 |
| 24131 | 7590 12/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | EENBERG STEME | VIJAYAKUMAR, KALLAMBELLA M | | |
| P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOO | D, FL 33022-2480 | | ART UNIT PAPER N | |
| | • | | 1751 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/695,367 | OTTINGER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Kallambella Vijayakumar | 1751 | |

| | Kallambella Vijayakumar | 1751 | |
|--|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The periods. b) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | 706.07(f). | • | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of earlier 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later and the set any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing d | t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel | onsideration and/or search (see NC | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | etter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1. | | jected claims. | |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13. | • | rill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | — | • | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attacl | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | out does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other: | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) \int O \int O DOU | GLAS MCGINTY | 7 |
| | SUPERVISO | RY PATENT EXAMI | NER |
| | f^{*} | 757 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims as amended differ in scope over the previous set of claims that were examined, and they require further consideration.